Is classical flat Kasner spacetime flat in quantum gravity?

Parampreet Singh

Department of Physics and Astronomy,
Louisiana State University,
Baton Rouge, LA 70803, U.S.A.

arXiv:1604.03828v1 [gr-qc] 13 Apr 2016

Quantum nature of classical flat Kasner spacetime is studied using effective spacetime description in loop quantum cosmology. We find that even though the spacetime curvature vanishes at the classical level, non-trivial quantum gravitational effects can arise. For the standard loop quantization of Bianchi-I spacetime, which uniquely yields universal bounds on expansion and shear scalars and results in a generic resolution of strong singularities, we find that a flat Kasner metric is not a physical solution of the effective spacetime description, except in a limit. The lack of a flat Kasner metric at the quantum level results from a novel feature of the loop quantum Bianchi-I spacetime: quantum geometry induces non-vanishing spacetime curvature components, making it not Ricci flat even when no matter is present. The non-curvature singularity of the classical flat Kasner spacetime is avoided, and the effective spacetime transits from a flat Kasner spacetime in asymptotic future, to a Minkowski spacetime in asymptotic past. Interestingly, for an alternate loop quantization which does not share some of the fine features of the standard quantization, flat Kasner spacetime with expected classical features exists. In this case, even with non-trivial quantum geometric effects, the spacetime curvature vanishes. These examples show that the character of even a flat classical vacuum spacetime can alter in a fundamental way in quantum gravity and is sensitive to the quantization procedure.

I. INTRODUCTION

An important issue in quantum gravity is to understand the way fundamental properties of classical spacetime change when quantum gravitational effects become important. For classical spacetimes where dynamics of gravitational field causes curvature singularities, it has been expected that quantum gravity effects resolve the latter. Recent studies in loop quantization of cosmological and black hole spacetimes indeed confirm these expectations. Nonetheless, there exist classical spacetimes where curvature singularities are absent. One such spacetime is the flat Kasner spacetime which is a special solution of the vacuum Bianchi-I spacetime in general relativity. It is obtained from the Kasner metric when one of the Kasner exponents is unity, and the other two vanish. The flat Kasner spacetime has vanishing components of the Riemann curvature and a non-curvature singularity at the origin. It can be embedded in Minkowski space. At first, it may appear that such a spacetime with an innocuous gravitational field probably is too simple for any non-trivial quantum gravitational effects. But, this expectation may be premature. Even though Riemann curvature vanishes, one of the directional Hubble rates diverges, causing expansion and the shear scalars to blow up at the non-curvature singularity. Therefore, it is not obvious whether quantum gravity does not affect classical properties of the flat Kasner spacetime.

The goal of this manuscript is to understand quantum properties of classical flat Kasner spacetime in loop quantum cosmology (LQC), which is a non-perturbative quantization of homogeneous spacetimes [1]. LQC is based on using techniques of loop quantum gravity where elementary gravitational phase space variables are the triads and the conjugate connection components. At the quantum level, holonomies of connection are used to construct a curvature operator whose non-local nature results in a quantum Hamiltonian constraint which turns out to be a quantum difference equation. In the last decade, loop quantization of various homogeneous gravitational spacetimes has been successfully performed. One the main results is the absence of a big bang and

an existence of a non-singular bounce [2–4], a direct result of the underlying quantum discreteness. Using consistent histories analysis, the probability of bounce turns out to be unity [5]. Resolution of singularities in LQC can be understood quite accurately using an effective spacetime description obtained using geometric formulation of quantum theory [6]. The effective Hamiltonian in LQC can also be obtained using an inverse procedure based on deriving canonical structures from the dynamical equations. Demanding that the modified dynamical equations resolve the singularity through quadratic repulsive energy density, as in LQC, one is led to a canonical phase space and the Hamiltonian of LQC [7]. The effective spacetime description turns out to be an excellent approximation to the underlying quantum dynamics [3, 8–11]. Using effective dynamics, various insights on the quantum geometric effects have been gained. In particular, quantum geometry causes universal bounds on directional Hubble rates, and hence on expansion and shear scalars [12–14]. It results in a generic resolution of strong singularities for isotropic and anisotropic spacetimes (at least for matter with a vanishing anisotropic stress) [13, 15–18].

It should be noted that different ways to consider holonomies over loops lead to different loop quantization prescriptions. These result in different quantum Hamiltonian constraints with qualitatively different physics. In particular, existence of universal bounds on Hubble rates, expansion and shear scalars, and a generic resolution of strong singularities turn out to be true only for a unique prescription in LQC [12, 19]: the improved dynamics quantization [3]. This quantization method has an advantage of being independent of the freedoms of the fiducial cell necessary to define the symplectic structure. Apart from the improved dynamics quantization of the Bianchi-I spacetime [20, 21], denoted as $\bar{\mu}$ scheme in our analysis, there exists an alternative loop quantization [22–25] (denoted as $\bar{\mu}'$ scheme).[1] Unlike the (standard) $\bar{\mu}$ quantization, the $\bar{\mu}'$ quantization does not yield consistent physics for the case of a $\mathbb{R}^3$ spatial manifold. Physics depends on the change in the shape of the fiducial cell defined on $\mathbb{R}^3$. However, if one chooses, a 3-torus spatial manifold then this issue is overcome. In any case, directional Hubble rates are not universally bound and resolution of strong singularities is not guaranteed in $\bar{\mu}'$ scheme [12, 16, 17]. Nevertheless it is a reasonable alternative quantization to study if the spatial manifold is restricted to a 3-torus.

Our investigations of the flat Kasner spacetime in LQC are based on both of the above quantization prescriptions. It utilizes the effective spacetime description, which for the Bianchi-I spacetime has been well tested using numerical simulations of physical states with the quantum Hamiltonian constraint [24, 26]. Our analysis is based on assuming the validity of the effective Hamiltonian for the entire regime. With this caveat, the summary of the results we obtain from effective Hamiltonian is as follows. For the effective dynamics of the standard ($\bar{\mu}$) loop quantization of the Bianchi-I spacetime in the absence of matter, we find that flat Kasner spacetime is not a solution. Only in the classical limit at late times, the effective spacetime approaches the flat Kasner spacetime. Unlike the classical theory, the effective spacetime has non-vanishing Riemann tensor components, and the spacetime is not Ricci flat. Departure from the classical properties – flat spacetime and vacuum, is caused by the underlying quantum geometric discreteness.

Evolution of directional scale factors reveals some more surprises in the $\bar{\mu}$ quantization. At late times when spacetime curvature is negligible in the effective spacetime, two of the scale factors remain constant and the third varies linearly with proper time, as should be the case for the flat Kasner metric. There is an excellent agreement with classical theory in this regime. In the backward evolution, spacetime curvature components grow rapidly near the origin, the point of non-curvature singularity in the classical theory. As the spacetime curvature in the effective spacetime becomes Planckian, the linearly varying directional scale factor changes its behavior and avoids the origin. In the meantime, other scale factors remain constant. Curvature invariants are

[1] In earlier works on Bianchi-I models [20, 22], notation of $\bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\mu}'$ were reversed.

bounded in this regime due to quantum geometry and evolution mimics the behavior as if a to be curvature singularity is resolved. In the past evolution, past to the avoidance of the point where classical non-curvature singularity exists, the spacetime again becomes classical. But, it no longer approaches a flat Kasner spacetime asymptotically. Interestingly, in this regime all of the three scale factors become constant. The effective spacetime at very early times approaches a Minkowski spacetime.

In the alternative ($\bar{\mu}'$) approach, evolution is very distinct from the $\bar{\mu}$ scheme. It turns out that even in the presence of non-trivial quantum geometric effects, evolution mimics the one in the classical theory. As in general relativity, one of the directional Hubble rates is inversely proportional to time, and other two directional Hubble rates vanish for all times. All the components of the spacetime curvature vanish. Quantum geometry ignores the non-curvature singularity at the origin. This behavior is similar to ignorance of weak singularities by effective dynamics in LQC [13, 15–18].

Though, evolution of scale factors is essentially the same as in the classical theory for the $\bar{\mu}'$ quantization, quantum geometry does leave its subtle trace. It renormalizes a coefficient in the directional Hubble rate, from unity in the classical theory to another finite value. Metric of the effective spacetime turns out to be a flat Kasner metric. In a sharp contrast to the standard ($\bar{\mu}$) loop quantization, the classical flat vacuum spacetime remains a flat vacuum spacetime at the quantum level. Thus, there are striking qualitative differences in the effective dynamics of the standard loop quantization and its alternative in the Bianchi-I spacetime.

Analysis and result in the manuscript are organized as follows. In the next section, we summarize the Hamiltonian approach using connection and triad variables for the classical Bianchi-I spacetime in the absence of matter. By studying the solutions of the Hamilton's equations, flat Kasner metric is shown to be a solution in the classical theory. In Sec. III, effective Hamiltonian dynamics of $\bar{\mu}$ quantization is considered with no matter. Unlike the classical case, it is found that the flat Kasner metric is no longer a solution of the effective Hamiltonian. Using the modified Hamilton's equations, the effective spacetime is shown to be not Ricci flat. Curvature invariants are found, and shown to be non-vanishing but bounded. Underlying quantum geometry thus changes the fundamental characteristics of the classical flat vacuum spacetime. Sec. IV deals with a similar analysis of an alternative loop quantization, the $\bar{\mu}'$ scheme. In this case, the effective spacetime is Ricci flat, and flat Kasner metric turns out to be a solution of the effective Hamiltonian. Unlike the $\bar{\mu}$ effective dynamics, the non-curvature singularity at the origin is not avoided. We conclude with a discussion in Sec. V.

II. CLASSICAL VACUUM BIANCHI-I SPACETIME AND THE FLAT KASNER SOLUTION

The gravitational phase space of the homogeneous Bianchi-I spacetime is composed of triad and connection variables, which due to underlying symmetries of homogeneity are diagonal and only depend on time. These satisfy,

$$\{c_i, p_j\} = 8\pi G\gamma\delta_{ij} \ . \tag{2.1}$$

Here γ denotes the Barbero-Immirzi parameter. The spacetime metric for the Bianchi-I spacetime is given by

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = g_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu\mathrm{d}x^\nu = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + a_1^2(t)\mathrm{d}x_1^2 + a_2^2(t)\mathrm{d}x_2^2 + a_3^2(t)\mathrm{d}x_3^2 \ , \tag{2.2}$$

where we have chosen the lapse to be unity, and a_i denote the directional scale factors. These scale factors are kinematically related to the components of the triads, as follows:

$$p_1 = l_2 l_3\, a_2 a_3, \quad p_2 = l_3 l_1\, a_3 a_1, \quad \text{and} \quad p_3 = l_1 l_2\, a_1 a_2 \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Here l_i refer to the fiducial lengths of a fiducial cell $\mathcal{V}$, on the spatial manifold $\mathbb{R}^3$, introduced to define a symplectic structure. The connection components are related to the time derivatives of the scale factors, a relationship which is determined by the Hamilton's equations.

In this homogeneous setting, gauge and spatial diffeomorphism constraints are fixed and the only non-trivial constraint is the Hamiltonian constraint. In the absence of matter, it is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_{\rm cl} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G\gamma^2 V}(c_1p_1c_2p_2 + c_2p_2c_3p_3 + c_3p_3c_1p_1) \approx 0 \tag{2.4}$$

where $V = (p_1p_2p_3)^{1/2}$ denotes the physical volume of the cell $\mathcal{V}$. Using the Hamiltonian, the Hamilton's equations for p_i yield:

$$\dot{p}_i = \frac{p_i}{\gamma V}\left(c_jp_j + c_kp_k\right) \tag{2.5}$$

with $i, j, k = 1..3$, which take different values in the above equation. Similarly, the Hamilton's equation for connection components turn out to be,

$$\dot{c}_i = -\frac{c_i}{\gamma V}\left(c_jp_j + c_kp_k\right) \ . \tag{2.6}$$

Using eqs.(2.3), the directional Hubble rates are related to the time variation of triads as

$$H_i = \frac{\dot{a}_i}{a_i} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{p}_j}{p_j} + \frac{\dot{p}_k}{p_k} - \frac{\dot{p}_i}{p_i}\right) \ . \tag{2.7}$$

For the classical theory, this equation yields a simple relation between the directional Hubble rates and the connection components on using the Hamilton's equations (2.5) and (2.6):

$$H_i = \frac{c_ip_i}{\gamma V} \tag{2.8}$$

which implies $c_i = \gamma l_i\dot{a}_i$. As we will see, this relationship changes in LQC.

The directional Hubble rates can be used to define two useful scalars, the expansion and the shear scalars. The expansion scalar θ is defined as

$$\theta = \frac{\dot{V}}{V} = H_1 + H_2 + H_3 \ , \tag{2.9}$$

and the shear scalar is given by

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{3}\left((H_1 - H_2)^2 + (H_2 - H_3)^2 + (H_3 - H_1)^2\right) \ . \tag{2.10}$$

It is straightforward to verify using the Hamilton's equations that for the classical vacuum Bianchi-I spacetime, the time derivative of the directional Hubble rates satisfy

$$\dot{H}_i + \theta H_i = 0 \ . \tag{2.11}$$

As a consequence, the time derivative of the expansion scalar obeys,

$$\dot{\theta} + \theta^2 = 0 \ . \tag{2.12}$$

Using the relationship between the components of the Ricci tensor and the directional Hubble rates and expansion scalar, one can verify that eq.(2.11) implies $R^1_1 = R^2_2 = R^3_3 = 0$. The vanishing of the classical Hamiltonian (eq.(2.4)) which yields

$$H_1H_2 + H_2H_3 + H_3H_1 = 0, \tag{2.13}$$

when used with eq.(2.12), implies that $R_0^0 = 0$. Note that we have obtained eqs.(2.11) and (2.12) from the Hamilton's equations corresponding to the classical vacuum Bianchi-I Hamiltonian. One can alternatively obtain these equations, starting from the Einstein field equations and using the vacuum condition – the vanishing of energy density and pressure, which leads to the vanishing of the Ricci tensor components.

Integrating (2.12) and (2.11), we find

$$H_1 = n_1 t^{-1}, \quad H_2 = n_2 t^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad H_3 = n_3 t^{-1} \tag{2.14}$$

where n_i are constants. The definition of the expansion scalar (2.9) then yields:

$$n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = 1 \ . \tag{2.15}$$

Using eq.(2.13), the square of the expansion scalar results in

$$n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 = 1 \ . \tag{2.16}$$

Using (2.14), the spacetime metric can thus be written as the Kasner metric

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + t^{2n_1}\mathrm{d}x_1^2 + t^{2n_2}\mathrm{d}x_2^2 + t^{2n_3}\mathrm{d}x_3^2 \ , \tag{2.17}$$

with n_i referred to as the Kasner exponents.

In the case when one of the Kasner exponents is unity and other two vanish, all of the Riemann curvature components vanish. Let us choose the non-vanishing exponent to be n_1. In this case, the metric becomes

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + t^2\mathrm{d}x_1^2 + \mathrm{d}x_2^2 + \mathrm{d}x_3^2 \tag{2.18}$$

which can be transformed to a metric of a flat space using a coordinate transformation. Due to this reason, the Kasner metric with any of the Kasner exponents unity and other vanishing, is called the flat Kasner metric. The classical flat Kasner spacetime has a non-curvature singularity at $t = 0$. Here the expansion and shear scalar diverge due to divergence in one of the directional Hubble rates (in the above example, H_1). Finally it is useful to note that the conditions for the flat Kasner metric (2.18), translate to $c_2 = c_3 = 0$ and c_1 as a non-vanishing constant. Classical Hamilton's equations (2.6) imply that if any of the two connection components vanish initially, then all the connection components are preserved in time. If the initial conditions are chosen such that $c_2 = c_3 = 0$, eq.(2.15) and (2.16) imply $c_1 = \gamma l_1$ at all times. Further, the Hamiltonian constraint is trivially satisfied for all times.

III. BIANCHI-I SPACETIME IN LQC AND THE LACK OF FLAT KASNER SOLUTION

Let us investigate the existence of flat Kasner metric in the standard ($\bar{\mu}$) loop quantization of Bianchi-I spacetime in LQC. Our analysis will be based on the effective Hamiltonian, which is assumed to be valid at all the scales. The effective Hamiltonian of the loop quantization of Bianchi-I spacetime was first considered by Chiou and Vandersloot [20, 22]. The corresponding quantum theory was later analyzed by Ashtekar and Wilson-Ewing [21]. The effective dynamics of this quantization has been well studied [12, 16, 20, 22, 27–30]. Some of the interesting results include: universal boundedness of expansion and shear scalars [12], resolution of all strong singularities for matter with a vanishing anisotropic stress [16, 17], genericity of inflation after the bounce in the presence of anisotropies [30] and Kasner transitions across the bounce [29]. As discussed earlier, in literature other loop quantizations of this spacetime have also been considered. The property which

distinguishes this quantization from other loop quantizations of Bianchi-I spacetime is that under rescalings of the fiducial lengths of the fiducial cell $\mathcal{V}$, physical predictions are not affected [12, 21]. To understand this, one can consider the way trigonometric terms in the effective Hamiltonian (3.1) transform under the change of fiducial lengths. It turns out that the variation in connection components cancels exactly with that in $\bar{\mu}_i$'s [12]. As a result, there is a well defined ultra-violet scale at which the quantum effects become important, and an infra-red limit as general relativity at small spacetime curvatures. These features are in common to the improved dynamics loop quantization of the isotropic models [19] and the Kantwoski-Sachs spacetimes [14].

In the absence of matter, the effective Hamiltonian for the Bianchi-I spacetime in LQC is [20]

$$C_H^{\bar{\mu}} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G\gamma^2 V}\Bigg[\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}_1 c_1)}{\bar{\mu}_1}\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}_2 c_2)}{\bar{\mu}_2}p_1p_2 + \frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}_3 c_3)}{\bar{\mu}_3}\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}_1 c_1)}{\bar{\mu}_1}p_3p_1 + \frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}_2 c_2)}{\bar{\mu}_2}\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}_3 c_3)}{\bar{\mu}_3}p_2p_3\Bigg] \approx 0\ . \tag{3.1}$$

Here $\bar{\mu}_i$ are determined from the underlying quantum geometry. In particular, they are related to the minimum area gap $\Delta = \lambda^2 = 4\sqrt{3}\pi\gamma l_{\rm Pl}^2$ as follows [21]:

$$\bar{\mu}_1 = \lambda\sqrt{\frac{p_1}{p_2p_3}}, \quad \bar{\mu}_2 = \lambda\sqrt{\frac{p_2}{p_1p_3}}, \quad \text{and } \bar{\mu}_3 = \lambda\sqrt{\frac{p_3}{p_1p_2}}\ . \tag{3.2}$$

In the regime where $\bar{\mu}_i c_i \ll 1$, the effective Hamiltonian constraint approximates the classical Hamiltonian constraint (2.4). Since $c_i = \gamma l_i \dot{a}_i$ in the classical theory, in this regime $H_i \ll \lambda_i^{-1}$. Thus, when Hubble rate is much smaller than the inverse of Planck length we expect the effective dynamics obtained from the above Hamiltonian constraint to approximate the classical dynamics.

However, in the regime where Planck scale effects are important there are significant departures between the effective dynamics obtained from (3.1) and the classical dynamics. This is evident from the Hamilton's equations corresponding to the effective Hamiltonian in eq.(3.1). The equations for the triads are:

$$\dot{p}_i = \frac{p_i}{\gamma\lambda}\cos(\bar{\mu}_i c_i)\left(\sin(\bar{\mu}_j c_j) + \sin(\bar{\mu}_k c_k)\right)\ , \tag{3.3}$$

where i, j, k take values 1..3 independently. Similarly, the time variation of connection components is given by

$$\dot{c}_i = \frac{1}{2p_i\gamma\lambda}\Bigg[c_jp_j\cos(\bar{\mu}_j c_j)(\sin(\bar{\mu}_i c_i) + \sin(\bar{\mu}_k c_k)) + c_kp_k\cos(\bar{\mu}_k c_k)(\sin(\bar{\mu}_i c_i) + \sin(\bar{\mu}_j c_j))$$
$$- c_ip_i\cos(\bar{\mu}_i c_i)(\sin(\bar{\mu}_j c_j) + \sin(\bar{\mu}_k c_k)) - \bar{\mu}_i p_j p_k\Bigg[\sin(\bar{\mu}_i c_i)\sin(\bar{\mu}_j c_j) \tag{3.4}$$
$$+ \sin(\bar{\mu}_j c_j)\sin(\bar{\mu}_k c_k) + \sin(\bar{\mu}_k c_k)\sin(\bar{\mu}_i c_i)\Bigg]\Bigg]\ . \tag{3.5}$$

The departure between the effective and the classical dynamics is notably captured by the behavior of the directional Hubble rates:

$$H_i = \frac{1}{2\gamma\lambda}\left(\sin(\bar{\mu}_j c_j + \bar{\mu}_k c_k) + \sin(\bar{\mu}_i c_i - \bar{\mu}_k c_k) + \sin(\bar{\mu}_i c_i - \bar{\mu}_j c_j)\right)\ . \tag{3.6}$$

In contrast to the classical theory, H_i are universally bounded. An immediate consequence of which is that the expansion and shear scalars are also bounded, with universal maximum values given by [12, 31]

$$\theta_{\rm max} = \frac{3}{2\gamma\lambda}, \quad \text{and} \quad {\sigma^2}_{\rm max} = \frac{10.125}{3\gamma^2\lambda^2}\ . \tag{3.7}$$

This feature plays an important role in resolution of strong singularities in the effective spacetime description of this loop quantized Bianchi-I model, and geodesic completeness [13]. For various types of matter contents, the classical big bang singularity is resolved and replaced by a bounce of the directional scale factors in the Planck regime.

It is useful to note some features of the behavior of Hubble rates in effective dynamics of LQC to understand the way they affect the Ricci tensor components and existence of (flat) Kasner metric. In effective dynamics $H_i \neq (\gamma l_i a_i)^{-1} c_i$ except in the classical regime $H_i \ll \lambda_i^{-1}$. Its consequence is that the vanishing of the effective Hamiltonian constraint does not in general implies that directional Hubble rates satisfy (2.13), unless for some special choice of H_i's. One such case is when two of the directional Hubble rates vanish which is required for the flat Kasner metric in the classical theory. As we saw in Sec. II, for the Bianchi-I vacuum spacetime in general relativity, eq.(2.13) follows from the Hamiltonian constraint. It holds for all physical solutions without any further condition on the classical directional Hubble rates. Vanishing of $\dot{\theta} + \theta^2$ which is necessary to obtain Kasner metric with $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = 1$, then implies $R^0_0 = 0$. Unlike the classical theory, in LQC $R^0_0 \neq \dot{\theta} + \theta^2$ in general. Unless Hubble rates satisfy an additional constraint, existence of Kasner metric is not tied to the vanishing Ricci tensor components.

In the case when two of the directional Hubble rates, say H_2 and H_3, vanish for all times then $R^0_0 = \dot{\theta} + \theta^2$ and $R^1_1 = \dot{H}_1 + \theta H_1$. Both of these components equal each other, and other components of Ricci tensor vanish in LQC. The flat Kasner metric then exists if $\dot{H}_1 + H_1^2 = 0$.

Let us now investigate in detail whether the flat Kasner metric is a solution permitted by the effective Hamiltonian (3.1). If such a metric exists, then directional Hubble rates should satisfy the time dependence $H_i = n_i t^{-1}$, with one of the n_i's equal to unity and others vanishing. To determine whether such a temporal variation of Hubble rates is allowed throughout the evolution, let us choose the initial conditions on c_2, c_3 at time t_i in the classical regime which agree with the conditions needed for the flat Kasner metric in the classical theory, i.e. $c_2(t_i) = c_3(t_i) = 0$. We then seek whether the effective Hamiltonian constraint and the effective dynamics leads to the temporal evolution of phase space variables necessary for a flat metric. This choice of initial conditions implies that the directional Hubble rates H_2 and H_3 vanish at the initial time. Note that vanishing of c_2 and c_3 at initial time t_i ensures that the effective Hamiltonian constraint is satisfied without any further constraints on any other phase space variables. Therefore, the initial conditions for directional Hubble rate H_1 are not fixed.

Using the first order equations (3.4), for the above initial conditions, we find that all of the connection components are constant in time. Hence, $c_2 = c_3 = 0$ at all times. Therefore, the effective Hamiltonian constraint is satisfied at all times without constraining other phase space variables. For $c_2(t) = c_3(t) = 0$, the time variation of triads yields

$$\frac{\dot{p}_1}{p_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\dot{p}_2}{p_2} = \frac{1}{\gamma\lambda}\sin(\bar{\mu}_1 c_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\dot{p}_3}{p_3} = \frac{1}{\gamma\lambda}\sin(\bar{\mu}_1 c_1)\ . \tag{3.8}$$

Using the above equations in eq.(2.7), directional Hubble rates are given by

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{\gamma\lambda}\sin(\bar{\mu}_1 k), \qquad H_2 = H_3 = 0\ . \tag{3.9}$$

Here k denotes the constant value of c_1 for a given fiducial cell. Since the directional Hubble rates, H_2 and H_3 vanish at all times, one finds that scale factors a_2 and a_3 are constant in time. Without any loss of generality, let us choose these scale factors to be unity to write

$$p_1 = 1, \quad p_2 = p_3 = a_1, \tag{3.10}$$

where we have also chosen the fiducial lengths l_i of the fiducial cell $\mathcal{V}$ to be unity. Above, the scale factor a_1 is an undetermined function of time.



FIG. 1: The time variation of directional scale factors is shown for the effective Hamiltonian (3.1) when two of the Hubble rates H_2 and H_3 vanish. Scale factors a_2 and a_3 are shown by dashed ticked and solid curves respectively. The scale factor a_1 is denoted by solid thick curve, and its classical counterpart is shown with a thin dashed curve. Classical curves for a_2 and a_3 are in agreement with those in LQC. The behavior of a_1 shows the lack of flat Kasner solution.

It is now straightforward to see that the scale factor a_1 does not has the desired time variation for a flat Kasner metric. From the equation for the directional Hubble rate H_1 we obtain,

$$\dot{a}_1 = \frac{a_1}{\gamma\lambda}\sin\left(\frac{\lambda k}{a_1}\right) \ . \tag{3.11}$$

In the regime where quantum geometric effects are negligible a_1 is linearly proportional to time as expected in the classical regime. However, when quantum geometric effects can not be ignored this proportionality fails. It can also be checked that $\dot{H}_1 + H_1^2 \neq 0$. Hence, we conclude that for this effective spacetime description even though matter is absent, the flat Kasner metric is not a physical solution except in the classical limit.

Solving Hamilton's equations numerically reveals interesting features of the behavior of the scale factors in the effective dynamics which are shown in Fig. 1. The plot compares the evolution of different scale factors in the $\bar{\mu}$ quantization LQC and classical theory. The Hubble rates H_2 and H_3 are chosen as constant at initial time t_i corresponding to late times. The scale factors a_2 and a_3 are found to remain constant through the evolution. Their evolution in LQC agrees with the classical evolution. At late times, the scale factor a_1 has a linear time dependence as expected in the classical theory. The classical scale factor a_1 vanishes at $t = 0$ where a classical non-curvature singularity occurs. However, in LQC a_1 departs significantly from the classical theory in the Planck regime and avoids the point $t = 0$. An interesting feature of the evolution is that in the further evolution, a_1 becomes constant and H_1 vanishes. At very early times, the effective spacetime metric approximates Minkowski metric. Thus, the Kasner exponent n_1 approaches unity asymptotically at late times ($t \gg 0$), and vanishes asymptotically at very early times ($t \ll 0$).

To gain further insights on the nature of the effective spacetime, let us find the components of the Ricci tensor, and the curvature invariants. The Ricci tensor components turn out to be

$$R_0^0 = R_1^1 = \frac{1}{\gamma^2\lambda}\sin\left(\frac{\lambda k}{a_1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\sin\left(\frac{\lambda k}{a_1}\right) - \frac{k}{a_1}\cos\left(\frac{\lambda k}{a_1}\right)\right) \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$R_2^2 = R_3^3 = 0 \ . \tag{3.13}$$



FIG. 2: Time variation of Ricci scalar for loop quantized Bianchi-I spacetime in absence of matter is shown in Planck units. Initial conditions correspond to those in Fig. 1. The Ricci scalar is non-vanishing in the absence of matter due to underlying quantum geometry.

The striking result is that Ricci tensor components R^0_0 and R^1_1 are non-zero due to the underlying quantum geometry. *The effective spacetime is not Ricci flat as in the classical theory in the absence of matter!*

The Ricci scalar R, which in this case is twice the value of R^0_0, is non-vanishing. Its time variation is shown in Fig. 2. The Ricci scalar approaches zero only in the classical regime ($H_i \ll \lambda_i^{-1}$). Notably the classical regimes have a different nature in the asymptotic past and asymptotic future. In the asymptotic future, where the Ricci scalar vanishes the effective spacetime metric approaches flat Kasner metric with one of the Kasner exponents unity and others zero. And in the asymptotic past, the vanishing of the Ricci scalar is tied to the purely Minkowskian metric with all Kasner exponents vanishing.

Like the Ricci scalar, the Kretschmann scalar (K) and the square of the Weyl curvature are also non-vanishing. These are respectively given by,

$$K = \frac{1}{\gamma^4\lambda^4 a_1^2}\left[\lambda k \sin\left(\frac{2\lambda k}{a_1}\right) - 2a_1 \sin^2\left(\frac{\lambda k}{a_1}\right)\right]^2 \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}C^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = \frac{K}{3}\ . \tag{3.15}$$

These share the same features as the Ricci scalar, and are vanishing in the asymptotic classical regimes. The nature of the spacetime near $t = 0$ is thus very different in character than the non-curvature singularity in the classical theory. The singularity resolution resembles those of resolution of curvature singularities in LQC.

IV. FLAT KASNER METRIC IN AN ALTERNATIVE BIANCHI-I LOOP QUANTIZATION

In the previous section, we found that the standard ($\bar{\mu}$) loop quantization of the Bianchi-I spacetime which results in a generic resolution of strong singularities does not admit a flat Kasner metric. Even in the absence of matter, Ricci tensor components became non-vanishing due to quantum geometric effects. A pertinent question is whether the absence of flat Kasner metric and non Ricci flatness is also a feature of other possible loop quantizations of Bianchi-I models.

Investigation in this section is based on an alternative ($\bar{\mu}'$) loop quantization of Bianchi-I spacetime. The considered quantization was motivated by the improved dynamics quantization of the isotropic model, in the sense that the $\bar{\mu}'_i$ (used to construct holonomies) depend on the triads such that they seem a close generalization of their isotropic counterparts [22]. The particular dependence of $\bar{\mu}_i$ on the directional triads is the key difference from the loop quantization of the Bianchi-I spacetime studied in Sec. III. It should be noted that in both of the quantizations, $\bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\mu}'$ prescriptions, agree with the isotropic model when the isotropic limit is taken. The main problem with this alternative loop quantization is the dependence of dynamics on the changes in the shape of the fiducial cell which is used to define the symplectic structure [12]. As in the case of $\bar{\mu}$ prescription, this is straightforward to verify. The trigonometric terms in the effective Hamiltonian constraint (4.1) are not invariant if the fiducial lengths l_i are changed arbitrarily. If the spatial manifold is a compact 3-torus $\mathbb{T}^3$, then there is no need to introduce a fiducial cell and the problem disappears. Nevertheless, in this quantization the directional Hubble rate is not universally bounded, and in principle initial conditions allowing divergence in curvature invariants are possible. With these issues noted, we now investigate whether the effective dynamics of the alternative loop quantization of Bianchi-I model allows a flat Kasner metric.

The effective Hamiltonian for the alternative loop quantization of Bianchi-I spacetime in absence of matter is given by [20, 22]:

$$C_H^{\bar{\mu}'} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G\gamma^2 V}\left[\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_1 c_1)}{\bar{\mu}'_1}\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_2 c_2)}{\bar{\mu}'_2}p_1p_2 + \frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_3 c_3)}{\bar{\mu}'_3}\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_1 c_1)}{\bar{\mu}'_1}p_3p_1 + \frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_2 c_2)}{\bar{\mu}'_2}\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_3 c_3)}{\bar{\mu}'_3}p_2p_3\right] \approx 0\ . \tag{4.1}$$

Here $\bar{\mu}'_i$, distinguished from the $\bar{\mu}_i$ in the previous section, are:

$$\bar{\mu}'_1 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{p_1}}, \quad \bar{\mu}'_2 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{p_2}}, \quad \text{and } \bar{\mu}'_3 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{p_3}}\ , \tag{4.2}$$

where $\lambda = (4\sqrt{3}\pi\gamma l_{\rm Pl}^2)^{1/2}$. Let us note a difference in comparison to the formulae in the previous section due to the compact 3-torus spatial manifold: the triads are related to the scale factors as $p_1 = (4\pi)^2 a_2 a_3$, and similarly for p_2 and p_3.

Like in the case of the effective Hamiltonian in $\bar{\mu}$ quantization (3.1), the above Hamiltonian constraint is approximated by the classical constraint when $\bar{\mu}'_i c_i \ll 0$. The Hamilton's equations for triads and connection components are:

$$\frac{\dot{p}_i}{p_i} = \frac{1}{\gamma V}\cos(\bar{\mu}'_i c_i)\left(\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_j c_j)}{\bar{\mu}'_j}p_j + \frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_k c_k)}{\bar{\mu}'_k}p_k\right) \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\dot{c}_i = \frac{1}{2\gamma V}\left(\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_j c_j)}{\bar{\mu}'_j}p_j + \frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_k c_k)}{\bar{\mu}'_k}p_k\right)\left(c_i\cos(\bar{\mu}'_i c_i) - 3\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_i c_i)}{\bar{\mu}'_i}\right)\ . \tag{4.4}$$

In contrast to the effective dynamics of $\bar{\mu}$ quantization, $\dot{p}_i/p_i$ are not universally bounded. They can diverge if any of the scale factors vanish [12]. The directional Hubble rates,

$$H_i = \frac{1}{2\gamma V}\left[p_i\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_i c_i)}{\bar{\mu}'_i}(\cos(\bar{\mu}'_j c_j) + \cos(\bar{\mu}'_k c_k)) + p_j\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_j c_j)}{\bar{\mu}'_j}(\cos(\bar{\mu}'_k c_k) - \cos(\bar{\mu}'_i c_i)) + p_k\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}'_k c_k)}{\bar{\mu}'_k}(\cos(\bar{\mu}'_j c_j) - \cos(\bar{\mu}'_i c_i))\right]\ , \tag{4.5}$$

as a result are also not necessarily bounded. Due to these reasons, resolution of singularities is not guaranteed in this approach [16, 17]. Even in the cases where singularities are resolved and replaced by bounces of the scale factors, curvature invariants can take super-Planckian values in the bounce regime [12].

As in the $\bar{\mu}$ quantization, physical solutions of the effective Hamiltonian constraint (4.1) do not automatically satisfy eq.(2.13). If directional Hubble rates satisfy the latter equation, then $\dot{\theta}+\theta^2$ is equivalent to R^0_0. Repeating the argument we made for the $\bar{\mu}$ quantization, the flat Kasner metric exists if for the non-vanishing directional Hubble rate, say H_1, $\dot{H}_1 + H_1^2 = 0$, with H_2 and H_3 vanishing at all times.

To find whether flat Kasner metric is a solution in this effective spacetime description, we set the initial conditions as in the previous section, i.e. the connection components c_2 and c_3 both vanishing at an initial time t_i in the classical regime. These ensure that the effective Hamiltonian constraint (4.1) is satisfied initially, and, $H_2(t_i) = H_3(t_i) = 0$. The first order differential equations (4.4), with the vanishing of c_2 and c_3 imply all the connection components are constants. Hence, c_2 and c_3 vanish at all times. As a result $H_2(t) = H_3(t) = 0$. The scale factors a_2 and a_3 remain constant in the evolution, and we choose them to be unity without any loss of generality.

Whether or not a flat Kasner metric is a solution then depends on if $\dot{H}_1 + H_1^2 = 0$ holds. To find this we notice that the Hamilton's equations in the present case yield

$$\frac{\dot{p}_1}{p_1} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\dot{p}_2}{p_2} = \frac{\dot{p}_3}{p_3} = \frac{1}{\gamma\lambda a_1}\sin(\bar{\mu}_1' c_1) \ . \tag{4.6}$$

Here we have used $p_2 = p_3 = 4\pi^2 a_1$, and $a_2 = a_3 = 1$. Since c_1 is a constant, the Hubble rate H_1 can be written as

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{\gamma\lambda}\frac{\sin(\lambda k')}{a_1}, \tag{4.7}$$

where k' is a constant. It is easily verified that unlike the directional Hubble rate H_1 in $\bar{\mu}$ quantization, the above Hubble rate yields $\dot{H}_1 + H_1^2 = 0$. Thus, $\ddot{a}_1 = 0$. As a result, all the spacetime curvature components vanish.

Integrating eq.(4.7), we get

$$a_1 = \alpha t \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha = (\gamma\lambda)^{-1}\sin(\lambda k') \ . \tag{4.8}$$

Thus, as in the classical theory, the directional scale factor a_1 linearly depends on time. Since a_2 and a_3 are constants in the evolution, the metric of the effective spacetime in this loop quantization of Bianchi-I model can thus be written in the flat Kasner form with a simple redefinition of the time coordinate. Note that the constant α encodes the signature of the underlying quantum discreteness captured via λ. In the classical regime, α is unity.

Therefore, in contrast to the standard loop quantization of the Bianchi-I spacetime which does not yield a flat Kasner solution and results in non-vanishing of the spacetime curvature components due to underlying quantum geometry, a very different picture emerges in the alternative loop quantization. Even though the effective Hamiltonian is modified in a non-trivial way, the spacetime curvature vanishes as in the classical theory. The effective spacetime in the $\bar{\mu}'$ approach is flat and the classical vacuum is unaffected by quantum geometry.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Let us summarize our main findings. Assuming the validity of the effective Hamiltonian in LQC, we studied the existence of flat Kasner metric in the loop quantized Bianchi-I spacetimes.

At the classical level, all the components of spacetime curvature vanish. The classical vacuum spacetime can be identified as a patch of flat space. We considered effective Hamiltonians for two strategies to loop quantize Bianchi-I spacetime, the standard ($\bar{\mu}$) scheme and its alternative ($\bar{\mu}'$ scheme) studied in Sec. III and Sec. IV respectively. Our investigation uncovers some novel features of the effective spacetime in these quantizations. For the $\bar{\mu}$ quantization, we find that even in the absence of matter, Riemann curvature components are non-zero and the effective spacetime is not Ricci flat. The non-vanishing of the spacetime curvature components results from the the non-perturbative quantum geometric modification encoded through the minimum area of the loops used in the curvature operator. Only in the classical limit, where the quantum geometric effects become negligible, loop quantum effective spacetime becomes Ricci flat. Not surprisingly, the flat Kasner metric is not a solution of the effective Hamiltonian for the $\bar{\mu}$ quantization.

It is intriguing that at the effective spacetime level, the loop quantization of a spacetime which is classically flat results in a metric which is quite non-trivial. Unlike the classical model, the spacetime does not have a non-curvature singularity. Evolution mimics the cases where a to be curvature singularity is resolved. The difference from such cases studied extensively in LQC being that there is no curvature singularity at the classical level which is resolved. Quantum gravitational effects become important only in a small neighborhood of the point where there is a classical non-curvature singularity. The Ricci tensor components and the curvature invariants remain bounded in the entire evolution and become negligible as the classical regime is approached. On one side of the temporal evolution, the effective spacetime metric approaches the flat Kasner metric asymptotically in the classical limit. The Kasner exponents are such that one of them is unity and the other two vanish. Surprisingly, this is not the case on the other side of the asymptotic temporal evolution when the classical regime is approached. The asymptotic metric is of Minkowski spacetime with all of the Kasner exponents vanishing. Thus, there is a loop quantum Kasner transition between the asymptotic past and future in the classical regimes, but unlike of the other cases studied so far in LQC [29]. Such highly asymmetric nature of the properties of the spacetime in two asymptotic regimes has parallels with recent results in the loop quantization of Kantowski-Sachs spacetimes [32, 33].

In contrast to these results, the flat Kasner metric turns out to be a solution of the effective Hamiltonian for an alternative loop quantization of the Bianchi-I spacetime in the absence of matter. This quantization, labeled as the $\bar{\mu}'$ quantization, does not share all the nice features of the $\bar{\mu}$ quantization. Physics depends on the fiducial cell, unless it is fixed to be a 3-torus. The expansion and shear scalar are not universally bounded and resolution of strong singularities is not guaranteed for arbitrary matter. In this quantization, the Riemann tensor components vanish in the effective spacetime even when the effective Hamiltonian has non-trivial quantum geometric modifications. Thus, we find striking qualitative differences in the effective spacetime of the Bianchi-I spacetime in the absence of matter for $\bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\mu}'$ quantizations. In the $\bar{\mu}$ quantization, the spacetime which is classically vacuum is no longer vacuum due to the presence of non-vanishing Ricci tensor components resulting from the underlying quantum geometry. Whereas, the classical vacuum spacetime, is vacuum in the effective spacetime for the $\bar{\mu}'$ quantization of the Bianchi-I model.

What is the status of these results at the full quantum level, beyond the effective spacetime description? One way to answer this question is by using the loop quantized Bianchi-I spacetimes, by considering semi-classical states peaked on the initial data as in our analysis and evolve them numerically using quantum Hamiltonian constraint. Since such a state has finite spread, except the peak value which classically corresponds to the flat Kasner solution, the state in general gets non-trivial contribution from the non-flat Kasner exponents. Given the technical difficulties with the quantum difference equations to perform numerical simulations in $\bar{\mu}$ quantization, more work is needed at the quantum level to gain insights on this question. The situation with $\bar{\mu}'$ quantization is

more tractable. Here numerical investigations suggest that singularity is eliminated for states with a finite spread [34]. Such a sharply peaked state avoids a to be curvature singularity. Another way to gain insights on this issue is to understand whether there are any physical states in the Hilbert space corresponding to the flat Kasner solution in $\bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\mu}'$ quantizations. Our results suggests that for the former the answer is negative, and is positive for the latter.

Let us note some important insights which emerge when we compare our findings with existing studies in isotropic models in LQC. Our results reveal a non-trivial change in the nature of the vacuum in loop quantum spacetime when anisotropy is included. In the spatially flat isotropic model, the classical vacuum spacetime corresponds to the vacuum spacetime at the effective spacetime level in LQC for the improved dynamics quantization. The metric is Minkowski in the absence of matter both in the classical theory and in the effective dynamics at all regimes. The spacetime which at the classical level has no gravitational field, on quantization yields an effective spacetime of the same nature. What we find in our analysis is that this is no longer necessarily the case for the Bianchi-I spacetime. For the only known loop quantization of Bianchi-I model which is free from the fiducial cell freedoms and promises generic resolution of strong singularities as its isotropic counterpart, i.e. the $\bar{\mu}$ quantization, the classical vacuum spacetime is non-empty at the quantum level. To restore the emptiness of vacuum one has to go beyond the $\bar{\mu}$ quantization. One way is to consider the alternative $\bar{\mu}'$ quantization which comes at a price: lack of genericness of singularity resolution and restriction of the spatial topology to a 3-torus. Our analysis thus points to novel features of loop quantum Bianchi-I spacetimes which are absent in its isotropized form. Our results show that the simplicity of the spatially flat isotropic model in LQC masks some of the subtle features of the loop quantum spacetimes with more gravitational degrees of freedom.

Acknowledgments

We thank Brajesh Gupt and Jorge Pullin for discussions during initial stages of this investigation, and Sahil Saini for comments and discussions. This work is supported by NSF grants PHY-1403943 and PHY-1454832.

---

[1] A. Ashtekar and P. Singh, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 213001 (2011)
[2] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawlowski and P. Singh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** 141301 (2006); Phys. Rev. D **73**, 124038 (2006)
[3] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawlowski and P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 084003 (2006)
[4] A. Ashtekar, A. Corichi, P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024046 (2008)
[5] D. A. Craig and P. Singh, Class. Quant. Grav. **30**, 205008 (2013).
[6] V. Taveras, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064072 (2008) 064072; P. Singh, V. Taveras, "A note on effective equations in LQC," To appear (2016)
[7] P. Singh and S. K. Soni, "On the relationship between the modifications to the Raychaudhuri equation and the canonical Hamiltonian structures," To appear in Class. Quant. Grav., arXiv:1512.07473
[8] D. Brizuela, D. Cartin and G. Khanna, SIGMA **8**, 001 (2012)
[9] P. Singh, Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 244002 (2012)
[10] P. Diener, B. Gupt and P. Singh, Class. Quant. Grav. **31**, 105015 (2014)
[11] P. Diener, B. Gupt, M. Megevand and P. Singh, Class. Quant. Grav. **31**, 165006 (2014)
[12] A. Corichi and P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 044024 (2009)
[13] P. Singh, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 125005 (2009)
[14] A. Joe and P. Singh, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, 015009 (2015)
[15] P. Singh and F. Vidotto, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 064027 (2011)
[16] P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 104011 (2012); P. Singh, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **360**, 012008 (2012)

[17] P. Singh, Bull. Astron. Soc. India **42**, 121 (2014)
[18] S. Saini, P. Singh, In preparation (2016)
[19] A. Corichi and P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 024034 (2008)
[20] D. W. Chiou and K. Vandersloot, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 084015 (2007)
[21] A. Ashtekar and E. Wilson-Ewing, Phys. Rev. D**79** 083535 (2009)
[22] D. W. Chiou, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 024029 (2007)
[23] M. Martin-Benito, G. A. Mena Marugan and T. Pawlowski, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064008 (2008)
[24] M. Martin-Benito, G. A. M. Marugan and T. Pawlowski, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 084038 (2009)
[25] L. Szulc, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064035 (2008)
[26] P. Diener, A. Joe, M. Megevand, P. Singh, Numerical simulations of Bianchi-I vacuum spacetime in loop quantum cosmology, To appear (2016)
[27] R. Maartens and K. Vandersloot, "Magnetic Bianchi I Universe in Loop Quantum Cosmology," arXiv:0812.1889
[28] A. Corichi, A. Karami, E. Montoya, "Loop Quantum Cosmology: Anisotropy and singularity resolution," arXiv:1210.7248
[29] B. Gupt and P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 024034 (2012)
[30] B. Gupt and P. Singh, Class. Quant. Grav. **30**, 145013 (2013)
[31] B. Gupt and P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 044011 (2012)
[32] A. Corichi and P. Singh, Class. Quantum Grav. **33**, 055006 (2016)
[33] N. Dadhich, A. Joe and P. Singh, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, 185006 (2015)
[34] P. Singh, In preparation (2016)